

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

STACEY GONZALEZ, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 18-5029

ORDER

AND NOW, this 16th day of September 2020, upon consideration of the pending motions and all responses and replies thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint [Doc. No. 32] is **DENIED** and Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint [Doc. No. 30] is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part as follows:

1. Plaintiffs are **GRANTED** leave to amend as to Plaintiff Soroka's discrimination claims under Title VII and the PHRA.
2. Plaintiffs are **GRANTED** leave to amend as to Plaintiff Gonzalez's retaliation claims under Title VII and the PHRA.
3. Plaintiffs are **GRANTED** leave to amend as to Plaintiff Gonzalez's retaliation claims against Defendant Wilson.
4. Plaintiffs are **DENIED** leave to amend as to all other claims.
5. Plaintiffs shall file a second amended complaint that comports with this Order no later than **September 27, 2020**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.